

Message

From: Fennessy, Christopher [christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
Sent: 6/27/2016 10:08:40 PM
To: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]
CC: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]; Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Incorporation of Proposed Revisions to Area 40 RI Fond in Response to Comments
Attachments: removed.txt

Thanks for the review Alex! We included the Responses to Agency comments within Appendix A -- Supporting Documentation. It is Attachment 1 to Appendix A. Also, you can find the contour identifying the 300ug/L TCE concentration plus 100 feet on the WSLR map, Figure 4.1-10.

Following upon our recent discussions regarding the background data set, I understand your concern with the way it has been used. Rather than defaulting to the background data set presented in the BOU HHERA, we will review the background data set again. If further discussion is needed regarding the use or elimination of outliers, we will initiate the discussion before evaluation in the IOU HHERA.

Christopher M. Fennessy, P.E.
Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc.
Engineering Manager, Site Remediation
11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
Ph: 916-355-3341
Fax: 916-355-6145
Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com

From: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards [mailto:Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 2:13 PM
To: Fennessy, Christopher
Cc: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC; keller.lynn@epa.gov
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Incorporation of Proposed Revisions to Area 40 RI Fond in Response to Comments

Chris:

I took a look at the proposed changes in the response to comments and have the following:

1. The finalized document should have included the response to comments in the back of the document. I printed out a copy and added it to the document.
2. RTC Page 2, response to comment 2. The last sentence states that the 300 µg/L TCE concentration that was used to identify the area that may pose a threat to burrowing animals in Area 40 will be added to appropriate figures. I cannot find where this was done.
3. RTC Comment 6. Although we are not objecting to Table 3-1 and the background value present for lead of 42 mg/kg, we want to keep it clear that we disagree with that value and are not requiring revision due to lead not being a COC in Area 40.

Alexander MacDonald
Senior Engineer
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114
916-464-4625
amacdonald@waterboards.ca.gov

